

# ECON 772001

# MATH FOR ECONOMISTS

Peter Ireland

Boston College

December 1, 2020

These lecture notes by Peter Ireland are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

The problem: Given  $(y_0, \varepsilon_0)$ , choose contingency plans for  $z_t$ ,  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $y_t$ ,  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , to maximize

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t F(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_t)$$

subject to

$$y_t + Q(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \geq y_{t+1}$$

for all  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$ .

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$H_t = \{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t\}$  = history of shocks through  $t$

$p(H_t)$  = probability of  $H_t$

(probability mass replaced by density if  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$  is continuous)

$y_t(H_t)$  = stock variable at  $t$  following history  $H_t$

$z_t(H_t)$  = stock variable during  $t$  following history  $H_t$

$\varepsilon_t(H_t)$  = shock  $\varepsilon_t$  at the end of  $H_t$

The notation shows that  $y_t$  and  $z_t$  are stochastic processes adapted to the information sets generated by  $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ .

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$\Omega_t$  = set of all possible  $H_t$

$H_{t+1} = (H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$  = history through  $t + 1$  that follows  $H_t$  through  $t$

$\Omega_{t+1}|H_t$  = set of all possible  $(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$  that follow  $H_t$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

The problem: Given  $(y_0(H_0), \varepsilon_0(H_0))$ , choose  $z_t(H_t)$  for all  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$  and  $y_t(H_t)$  for all  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$  to maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)]$$

subject to

$$y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] \geq y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$$

for all  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $H_t \in \Omega_t$ , and  $(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

Choose  $z_t(H_t)$  for all  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T$  and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$  and  $y_t(H_t)$  for all  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$  and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$  to maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^T \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)]$$

subject to

$$y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] \geq y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$$

for all  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T$ ,  $H_t \in \Omega_t$ , and  $(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t$

Note that with a finite horizon and a finite number of realizations for  $\varepsilon_{t+1}$ , this problem is a special case of, not an extension to, the problem to which the Kuhn-Tucker theorem applies directly.

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \tilde{\mu}_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \tilde{\mu}_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the Lagrangian is in “present value” form. To convert to “current values” use the change of variables

$$\tilde{\mu}_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) = \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$$



# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \tilde{\mu}_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$ . FOC for  $z_t(H_t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t p(H_t) F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

FOC for  $z_t(H_t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t p(H_t) F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\frac{p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})}{p(H_t)} = p(\varepsilon_{t+1} | H_t)$$

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t p(H_t) F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \beta \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(\varepsilon_{t+1} | H_t) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} & F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \beta \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(\varepsilon_{t+1} | H_t) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \beta E_t[\mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$F_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ + \beta E_t[\mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) Q_2[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]] = 0$$

$$F_2(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_t) + \beta E_t[\mu_{t+1} Q_2(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0$$

Coincides with (23), with  $\mu_{t+1} = v_1(y_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1})$ .

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \beta^t p(H_t) F[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{H_t \in \Omega_t} \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{y_t(H_t) + Q[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] - y_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})\} \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $H_t \in \Omega_t$ . FOC for  $y_t(H_t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t p(H_t) F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\} \\ & - \beta^t p(H_t) \mu_t(H_t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^t p(H_t) F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} \beta^{t+1} p(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\} \\ & - \beta^t p(H_t) \mu_t(H_t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(H_t) &= F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ & + \beta \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} p(\varepsilon_{t+1} | H_t) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ & \times \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\} \end{aligned}$$



## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t(H_t) &= F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ &+ \beta \sum_{(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \in \Omega_{t+1} | H_t} p(\varepsilon_{t+1} | H_t) \mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \\ &\times \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t(H_t) &= F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ &+ \beta E_t [\mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\}] \end{aligned}$$

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t(H_t) &= F_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_t(H_t)] \\ &\quad + \beta E_t [\mu_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1}) \{1 + Q_1[y_t(H_t), z_t(H_t), \varepsilon_{t+1}(H_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\}]]\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu_t = F_1(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_t) + \beta E_t \{ \mu_{t+1} [1 + Q_1(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] \}$$

Coincides with (24), with  $\mu_t = v_1(y_t, \varepsilon_t)$  and  $\mu_{t+1} = v_1(y_{t+1}, \varepsilon_{t+1})$ .

## The Kuhn-Tucker Theorem Under Uncertainty

$$F_2(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_t) + \beta E_t[\mu_{t+1} Q_2(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})] = 0$$

$$\mu_t = F_1(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_t) + \beta E_t\{\mu_{t+1}[1 + Q_1(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})]\}$$

Together with the binding constraint

$$y_{t+1} = y_t + Q(y_t, z_t, \varepsilon_{t+1})$$

and a law of motion for  $\varepsilon_t$ , the FOCs form a system of 4 equations in 4 unknowns:  $y_t$ ,  $z_t$ ,  $\mu_t$ , and  $\varepsilon_t$ .