

ECON 772001

MATH FOR ECONOMISTS

Peter Ireland

Boston College

September 29, 2020

These lecture notes by Peter Ireland are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>.

Optimal Growth

The planner's problem: Given $k(0)$, choose $c(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $\dot{k}(t)$ for $t \in (0, T]$ to maximize

$$\int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) \geq \dot{k}(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]$$

$$k(T) \geq 0$$

Optimal Growth

Set up the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L(c(t), k(t), \lambda(t), \phi) = & \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \lambda(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) - \dot{k}(t)] dt \\ & + \phi k(T) \end{aligned}$$

Note: Utility is expressed as a “present value” at $t = 0$, while $k(t)$ and $c(t)$ are goods at $t > 0$.

Therefore, $\lambda(t)$ measures the present value at $t = 0$ of capital at $t > 0$.

Optimal Growth

Use $\lambda(t) = e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)$ to rewrite the Lagrangian in “current value” form:

$$\begin{aligned}L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt \\ &+ \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ &- \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) \dot{k}(t) dt \\ &+ \phi k(T)\end{aligned}$$

Optimal Growth

$$\begin{aligned} L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt \\ &+ \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ &- \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) \dot{k}(t) dt \\ &+ \phi k(T) \end{aligned}$$

How to differentiate the function L with respect to the functions $k(t)$ and $c(t)$?

How to differentiate the term involving $\dot{k}(t)$ with respect to $k(t)$?

Optimal Growth

To answer the first question – that is, to differentiate L with respect to the functions $k(t)$ and $c(t)$ – we can use a *variational argument*.

For details, see

Acemoglu, Ch.7, Sec.1

Wendell H. Fleming and Raymond W. Rishel. *Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control* (1975).

Optimal Growth

Consider the problem: choose $y(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ to maximize

$$F(y) = \int_0^T f(y(t), t) dt$$

Note that changing $y(t)$ on any set of measure zero has no effect on F . Assume, partly for this reason, that

y is continuously differentiable

f is twice continuously differentiable

Optimal Growth

Let $y^*(t)$ solve the problem and consider the *admissible variation*

$$y(t) = y^*(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t)$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ and η is continuously differentiable.

Evaluate

$$F(y^* + \varepsilon\eta) = \int_0^T f(y^*(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t), t) dt$$

Optimal Growth

Since y^* solves the problem, it should not be possible, starting from $\varepsilon = 0$, to choose a small but non-zero value of ε and increase the objective function:

$$\left. \frac{dF(y^* + \varepsilon\eta)}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_0^T f(y^*(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t), t) dt \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

Since f is (twice) continuously differentiable, we can switch the order of differentiation with respect to ε and integration with respect to t .

Optimal Growth

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_0^T f(y^*(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t), t) dt \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

$$\left. \int_0^T f_y(y^*(t) + \varepsilon\eta(t), t)\eta(t) dt \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$$

$$\int_0^T f_y(y^*(t), t)\eta(t) dt = 0$$

Optimal Growth

$$\int_0^T f_y(y^*(t), t)\eta(t)dt = 0$$

Since this condition must hold *for any* continuously differentiable function $\eta(t)$, we can choose

$$\eta(t) = f_y(y^*(t), t)$$

to get

$$\int_0^T [f_y(y^*(t), t)]^2 dt = 0$$

Optimal Growth

But

$$\int_0^T [f_y(y^*(t), t)]^2 dt = 0$$

requires that

$$f_y(y^*(t), t) = 0$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Optimal Growth

Therefore, necessary conditions for a solution to

$$\max_{y(t), t \in [0, T]} \int_0^T f(y(t), t) dt$$

are

$$f_y(y^*(t), t) = 0$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

We can fix a value of $t \in [0, T]$ and pretend that $y(t)$ is a variable, not a function.

Optimal Growth

Next question: how to differentiate the term

$$\int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) \dot{k}(t) dt$$

with respect to $k(t)$?

Answer: rewrite the term in a more convenient form using integration by parts.

Optimal Growth

$$\frac{de^{-\rho t}\theta(t)k(t)}{dt} = -\rho e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)k(t) + e^{-\rho t}\dot{\theta}(t)k(t) + e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)\dot{k}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \frac{de^{-\rho t}\theta(t)k(t)}{dt} dt \\ &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}[\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho\theta(t)]k(t)dt + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)\dot{k}(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

The term on the left can be evaluated using the fundamental theorem of calculus; the term on the right is the one that's giving us trouble in L .

Optimal Growth

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \frac{de^{-\rho t}\theta(t)k(t)}{dt} dt \\ &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}[\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho\theta(t)]k(t)dt + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)\dot{k}(t)dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}\theta(t)\dot{k}(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t}[\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho\theta(t)]k(t)dt - e^{-\rho T}\theta(T)k(T) + \theta(0)k(0) \end{aligned}$$

Optimal Growth

Use this result to rewrite the Lagrangian as

$$\begin{aligned} L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) = & \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt \\ & + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ & + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} [\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho \theta(t)] k(t) dt \\ & - e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) + \theta(0) k(0) + \phi k(T) \end{aligned}$$

Optimal Growth

The maximum principle lets us skip all of these preliminary steps.

But since we've already taken the trouble to work through them, let's see where they lead us.

Optimal Growth

$$\begin{aligned} L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) = & \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ & + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} [\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho \theta(t)] k(t) dt \\ & - e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) + \theta(0) k(0) + \phi k(T) \end{aligned}$$

Fix $t \in [0, T]$. "FOC" for $c(t)$:

$$\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{c(t)} - e^{\rho t} \theta(t) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{c(t)} - \theta(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]$$

Optimal Growth

$$\begin{aligned} L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} [\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho \theta(t)] k(t) dt \\ &\quad - e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) + \theta(0) k(0) + \phi k(T) \end{aligned}$$

Fix $t \in (0, T)$. “FOC” for $k(t)$:

$$e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [\alpha k(t)^{\alpha-1} - \delta] + e^{-\rho t} [\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho \theta(t)] = 0$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \rho \theta(t) - \theta(t) [\alpha k(t)^{\alpha-1} - \delta] \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]$$

if all functions of t are continuously differentiable.

Optimal Growth

$$\begin{aligned}L(c(t), k(t), \theta(t), \phi) &= \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt + \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} \theta(t) [k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T e^{-\rho t} [\dot{\theta}(t) - \rho \theta(t)] k(t) dt \\ &- e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) + \theta(0) k(0) + \phi k(T)\end{aligned}$$

“FOC” for $k(T)$:

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) [\alpha k(T)^{\alpha-1} - \delta] + e^{-\rho T} [\dot{\theta}(T) - \rho \theta(T)] \\ - e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) + \phi = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\phi = e^{-\rho T} \theta(T)$$

Optimal Growth

Binding constraint

$$\dot{k}(t) = k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]$$

Initial condition $k(0)$ given.

Complementary slackness condition

$$\phi k(T) = 0$$

$$e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$

Optimal Growth

Consider the simple (linear) differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = rx(t)$$

The general solution is

$$x(t) = ke^{rt}$$

Verify by differentiation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = rke^{rt} = rx(t)$$

Optimal Growth

Consider the simple (linear) differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = rx(t)$$

The general solution is

$$x(t) = ke^{rt}$$

A specific solution is pinned down by the initial condition

$$x(0) = x_0:$$

$$x_0 = x(0) = ke^0 = k$$

Optimal Growth

The *unique* solution to the initial value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = rx(t), \quad x(0) = x_0$$

is

$$x(t) = x_0 e^{rt}$$

Similarly, a system of two differential equations in two unknown functions $k(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ requires two boundary conditions.

Optimal Growth

For the Ramsey model, we have an initial condition

$$k(0) \text{ given}$$

and a terminal, or transversality, condition

$$e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$

or, with an infinite horizon,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$

Optimal Growth

With $T < \infty$,

$$e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) = \frac{e^{-\rho T}}{c(T)} > 0$$

Hence, the TVC

$$e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$

requires $k(T) = 0$, preventing overaccumulation.

Optimal Growth

With $T = \infty$, it is *not* the case that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} k(T) = 0$$

Nevertheless, the TVC

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$

prevents overaccumulation.

Optimal Growth

Given $k(0)$, let $c(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $k(t)$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$
maximize

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \ln(c(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) \geq \dot{k}(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)$$

Then $c(t)$, $k(t)$, and the associated values of $\theta(t)$ must satisfy:

Optimal Growth

The FOC:

$$\frac{1}{c(t)} - \theta(t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)$$

The pair of differential equations:

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \rho\theta(t) - \theta(t)[\alpha k(t)^{\alpha-1} - \delta] \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)$$

$$\dot{k}(t) = k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)$$

The initial and transversality conditions:

$k(0)$ given

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho T} \theta(T) k(T) = 0$$