

Solutions to Midterm Exam

ECON 772001 - Math for Economists
Boston College, Department of Economics

Peter Ireland
Fall 2018

Thursday, October 25, 1:30 - 2:45pm

1. Utility Maximization

The consumer chooses c_1 and c_2 to maximize the utility function

$$U(c_1, c_2) = \ln(c_1) - (1/2)(c_2 - \bar{c})^2,$$

subject to the budget constraint

$$I \geq p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2.$$

a. With the Lagrangian for this problem defined as

$$L(c_1, c_2, \lambda) = \ln(c_1) - (1/2)(c_2 - \bar{c})^2 + \lambda(I - p_1 c_1 - p_2 c_2),$$

the Kuhn-Tucker theorem implies that the optimal choices c_1^* and c_2^* and the associated value λ^* of the Lagrange multiplier must satisfy the first-order conditions

$$\frac{1}{c_1^*} - \lambda^* p_1 = 0$$

and

$$-(c_2^* - \bar{c}) - \lambda^* p_2 = 0,$$

the constraint

$$I \geq p_1 c_1^* + p_2 c_2^*,$$

the nonnegativity condition

$$\lambda^* \geq 0,$$

and the complementary slackness condition

$$\lambda^*(I - p_1 c_1^* - p_2 c_2^*) = 0.$$

b. Since the utility function is strictly increasing in c_1 , we know in advance that $\lambda^* > 0$ and the budget constraint will always bind. Based on these observations, we can substitute the parameter settings $I = 10$, $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 1$, and $\bar{c} = 10$ into the first-order conditions and constraint to obtain the system of three equations in the three unknowns c_1^* , c_2^* , and λ^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c_1^*} - 2\lambda^* &= 0 \\ -(c_2^* - 10) - \lambda^* &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$10 = 2c_1^* + c_2^*.$$

Rearrange the first-order conditions to read

$$c_1^* = \frac{1}{2\lambda^*}$$

and

$$c_2^* = 10 - \lambda^*,$$

and substitute these expressions into the constraint to obtain

$$10 = \frac{1}{\lambda^*} + 10 - \lambda^*$$

or, more simply

$$(\lambda^*)^2 = 1.$$

Since $\lambda^* > 0$, this last equation requires $\lambda^* = 1$. The optimal consumptions are therefore

$$c_1^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$c_2^* = 9.$$

2. Roy's Identity

To answer this question, it helps to consider first the general utility maximization problem

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} U(c_1, c_2) \text{ subject to } I \geq p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2.$$

By defining the indirect utility function

$$V(p_1, p_2, I) = \max_{c_1, c_2} U(c_1, c_2) \text{ subject to } I \geq p_1 c_1 + p_2 c_2,$$

we can use the envelope theorem (in this case, Roy's identity) to recover the Marshallian demand curves as

$$c_1^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_1(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)}$$

and

$$c_2^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_2(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)}.$$

- a. For the specific case of the constant elasticity utility function, the indirect utility function is given by

$$V(p_1, p_2, I) = \ln(I) - \left(\frac{1}{1-\sigma}\right) \ln(p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}) + \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right) \ln(2).$$

Therefore, applying Roy's identity in this case yields

$$c_1^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_1(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)} = \frac{Ip_1^{-\sigma}}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}$$

and

$$c_2^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_2(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)} = \frac{Ip_2^{-\sigma}}{p_1^{1-\sigma} + p_2^{1-\sigma}}.$$

- b. In the limiting case where $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, so that the elasticity of substitution equals one, the indirect utility function simplifies to

$$V(p_1, p_2, I) = \ln(I) - (1/2) \ln(p_1) - (1/2) \ln(p_2) - \ln(2),$$

and Roy's identity implies

$$c_1^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_1(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)} = \frac{I}{2p_1}$$

and

$$c_2^*(p_1, p_2, I) = -\frac{V_2(p_1, p_2, I)}{V_3(p_1, p_2, I)} = \frac{I}{2p_2}.$$

3. The Ramsey Model

The social planner takes the initial capital stock $k(0)$ as given and chooses functions $c(t)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $k(t)$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ to maximize utility

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c(t)) dt$$

subject to the capital accumulation constraint

$$k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t) \geq \dot{k}(t),$$

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

- a. The current value Hamiltonian for the social planner's problem is

$$\bar{H}(c(t), k(t), \theta(t)) = u(c(t)) + \theta(t)[k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)].$$

With the maximized Hamiltonian defined by extension as

$$H(k(t), \theta(t)) = \max_{c(t)} u(c(t)) + \theta(t)[k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t)],$$

the maximum principle implies that the solution to the dynamic problem must satisfy the first-order condition

$$u'(c(t)) - \theta(t) = 0$$

and the pair of differential equations

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \rho\theta(t) - H_k(k(t), \theta(t)) = \rho\theta(t) - \theta(t)[\alpha k(t)^{\alpha-1} - \delta]$$

and

$$\dot{k}(t) = H_\theta(k(t), \theta(t)) = k(t)^\alpha - \delta k(t) - c(t).$$

- b. In the unique non-trivial steady state, where $c(t) = c^*$, $k(t) = k^*$, and $\theta(t) = \theta^*$ are all equal to constants, so that $\dot{k}(t) = \dot{\theta}(t) = 0$, the first differential equation, for $\dot{\theta}(t)$, implies that

$$k^* = \left(\frac{\delta + \rho}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$$

and the second differential equation, for $\dot{k}(t)$, implies that

$$c^* = \left(\frac{\delta + \rho}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} - \delta \left(\frac{\delta + \rho}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}.$$

4. Natural Resource Depletion

The social planner takes s_0 as given and chooses sequences $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{s_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ to maximize utility

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} \right)$$

subject to the constraints

$$s_t - c_t \geq s_{t+1}$$

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

- a. Although this problem can also be solved using the method of Lagrange multipliers, let's use the maximum principle instead. Start by defining the maximized present value Hamiltonian as

$$H(s_t, \pi_{t+1}; t) = \max_{c_t} \beta^t \left(\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} \right) - \pi_{t+1} c_t.$$

Then the maximum principle implies that the sequences $\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{s_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ that solve the dynamic problem must satisfy the first-order condition

$$\beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \pi_{t+1} = 0$$

and the pair of difference equations

$$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -H_s(s_t, \pi_{t+1}; t) = 0$$

and

$$s_{t+1} - s_t = H_\pi(s_t, \pi_{t+1}; t) = -c_t,$$

as well as the initial condition s_0 given and the transversality condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \beta^T c_T^{-\sigma} s_{T+1} = 0.$$

- b. Since the first difference equation implies that $\pi_{t+1} = \pi_t$ for all $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the first-order condition implies that

$$\beta^{t+1} c_{t+1}^{-\sigma} = \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma}$$

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This result shows that optimal consumption growth is constant, with

$$c_{t+1}/c_t = \beta^{1/\sigma}$$

for all $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since the right-hand side of this last expression is less than one, consumption of the natural resource declines over time for all values of σ , generalizing the result that you derived previously for the special case of log utility, with $\sigma = 1$.