

## Problem Set 4

In his 1930 book, *The Theory of Interest*, Irving Fisher extended microeconomic theory along the intertemporal dimension by reinterpreting apples  $c_a$  and bananas  $c_b$  as consumption  $c_0$  today ( $t = 0$ ) and consumption  $c_1$  next year ( $t = 1$ ).

Following in his footsteps, let's suppose the consumer's preferences over consumption at different dates can be described by the utility function

$$u(c_0) + \beta u(c_1)$$

where higher values of the discount factor  $\beta$  correspond to greater degrees of patience.

## Problem Set 4

In the utility function

$$u(c_0) + \beta u(c_1)$$

$u'(c) > 0$  reflects the assumption that more is preferred to less, while  $u''(c) < 0$  reflects the assumption of diminishing marginal utility and, in this case, a preference for smoothness in consumption over time (dislike of business cycles).

The special case

$$u(c) = \ln(c)$$

satisfies both of these assumptions.

## Problem Set 4

As in class, let

$Y_0 =$  income today

$Y_1 =$  income next year

$s =$  amount saved (or borrowed, if negative) this year

Budget constraints:

$$Y_0 \geq c_0 + s$$

$$Y_1 + (1 + r)s \geq c_1$$

## Problem Set 4

Again as in class, combine the two budget constraints

$$Y_0 \geq c_0 + s$$

$$Y_1 + (1 + r)s \geq c_1$$

Into a consolidated, present-value budget constraint:

$$Y_0 + \frac{Y_1}{1 + r} \geq c_0 + \frac{c_1}{1 + r}$$

and, for simplicity, set  $Y_0 = Y$  and  $Y_1 = 0$ .

## Problem Set 4

Now the consumer's problem can be stated as

$$\max_{c_0, c_1} \ln(c_0) + \beta \ln(c_1) \text{ subject to } Y \geq c_0 + \frac{c_1}{1+r}$$

Form the Lagrangian

$$L(c_0, c_1, \lambda) = \ln(c_0) + \beta \ln(c_1) + \lambda \left( Y - c_0 - \frac{c_1}{1+r} \right)$$

## Problem Set 4

Use the Lagrangian

$$L(c_0, c_1, \lambda) = \ln(c_0) + \beta \ln(c_1) + \lambda \left( Y - c_0 - \frac{c_1}{1+r} \right)$$

To derive the first-order conditions:

$$\frac{1}{c_0^*} - \lambda^* = 0$$

$$\frac{\beta}{c_1^*} - \lambda^* \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right) = 0$$

## Problem Set 4

At this point, you could use the first-order conditions:

$$\frac{1}{c_0^*} = \lambda^*$$

$$\frac{\beta}{c_1^*} = \lambda^* \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right)$$

together with the binding budget constraint

$$Y = c_0^* + \frac{c_1^*}{1+r}$$

to solve for  $c_0^*$ ,  $c_1^*$ , and  $\lambda^*$  in terms of  $\beta$ ,  $1+r$ , and  $Y$ .

## Problem Set 4

Instead divide

$$\frac{1}{c_0^*} = \lambda^*$$

by

$$\frac{\beta}{c_1^*} = \lambda^* \left( \frac{1}{1+r} \right)$$

to eliminate  $\lambda^*$ .

Then you can see how consumption growth

$\frac{c_1^*}{c_0^*}$  depends on patience  $\beta$  and the interest rate  $1+r$ .